

# September 2004 Austin

## RTC BOF at Fall 2004 Internet2 Member Meeting:

### Thursday September 30, 2004

We had a breakfast meeting during the Fall I2 member meeting at 7:15-8:45 AM

Session Abstract: What are the motivating forces in our institutional cultures that impact usage of collaborative technologies? This BOF focuses on real-world collaborations from the user's perspective, often discussing case studies of projects that use collaborative technologies in order to understand what makes them successful...or not. The BoF serves as a technical vetting organization, giving feedback to vendors and identifying best practices for our end-users. Attendees are encouraged to bring short case studies of their own to share. Breakfast will be served at 7:30am.

Agenda for September 30 Meeting:

Welcome: Gurcharan  
Case Studies: Les Finken and Anibal Vega  
Introductions:  
Brainstorming session : Boyd Knosp

Case studies  
Les Finken -

Link to archive of test video w/Hoffman; Send link to web site OR-  
place information on RTC web site -[http://www.uiowa.edu/~corn/rtc\\_case\\_studies.htm](http://www.uiowa.edu/~corn/rtc_case_studies.htm)  
Demoed new site for case studies: <http://at.its.uiowa.edu/digimedia/WhatOtherInstitutionsAreDoing.shtml>  
Anibal Vega - U of Puerto Rico

Virtual tumor board  
Visible embryo project  
I2 Demo room sip-enabled h.323 videoconferencing

Round Table Introductions - Attendee list:

Name, Organization, email

craig locatis, national library of medicine, locatis@nlm.nih.gov  
ted hanss, university of michigan, ted@umich.edu  
greg monaco, great plains network, greg@greatplains.net  
bob riddle, internet2, bob@internet2.edu  
jonathan tyman, internet2, tyman@internet2.edu  
myron lowe, university of minnesota, mlowe@umn.edu  
les finken, university of iowa, les-finken@uiowa.edu  
anibal vega, university of puerto rico, avega@rcm.upr.edu  
linda roos, oarnet, lroos@oar.net  
william green, university of texas at austin, green@mail.utexas.edu  
todd needham, microsoft, todd@microsoft.com  
boyd knosp, university of iowa, boyd-knosp@uiowa.edu  
jennifer maccougall, magpi/university of pennsylvania, jmacDoug@isc.upenn.edu  
gurcharan khanna, dartmouth college, gkhanna@dartmouth.edu

Planning session - 60 minutes

Criteria/important points

Must be a unique group (review current I2 Groups at <http://www.internet2.edu/working-groups.html>)

Activities should explore users perspective and the nature of the end user

Specific technical issues ( how do I, could we test) should be done off line.

Must be relevant to I2

What do you want to get out of this group?

Brainstorming: details below

Brainstorming session

What would you like to get out of this group?

- persistent ongoing dialogue
- what works? What are others using successfully? (I2 case studies on successful research collaborations)
- advice for I2 Commons
- awareness of what is happening
- understanding Jonathan (where the Commons is going)
- understanding requirements for researchers who want to collaborate
- additional collaborators
- simple, cross platform global authentication mechanism
- guidance for developing infrastructure (building network to MCU to people; product, network infrastructure)
- people who can translate the value of the tools
- establish feedback that begins with users not middleware
- discover interesting applications
- resource allocation among researchers rather than admin

- research ideas for studying presence
  - ways to promote deployment of underlying infrastructure
  - new resources
  - 1-1 exchanges with common or similar interests
  - new technologies to extend presence
  - ways to secure additional govt. funding
  - \*Case Studies, bad ones too.
  - strategies for reaching faculty
  - promotion
  - outreach methods
  - ways to enable evangelists (faculty, executive, business administrative)
  - (promotion)
  - additional channels into universities (more users)
  - examples of small groups of researcher working together (case study)
- Classification: of Brainstorm ideas(From J. Tyman):

Communications  
 New Technologies  
 Evangelists  
 Funding Sources  
 Action items:

Complete classification of ideas. Clarify definitions: Use to define goals moving forward.