## F2F 14 (un)Conference Topics and Notes

## **Topic List**

- Tools
  - o PACE model
  - o TIME Model
  - o Personas Piet gave a quick overview of the Persona Work for EA at UW
- EA Competencies, Staffing and Teams
- EA Frameworks and Tools (see below)
  - Information problems in communicating as an EA.
  - Pictures, models visual explanations
- Measuring EA success; "readiness" for next maturity level.

## Topic - Frameworks and Tools

- TOGAF was the common framework.
  - O Most people at a maturity of 1 2.
  - People like TOGAF because it is specific and proscriptive
  - Good available samples
  - Layers Conceptual -> Logical -> Physical work well
  - U Mich pulled out specific best practicss
- Tools
  - o MS Office or similar Google Docs, Wikis are what most people use. It is the lowest common denominator.
  - UBC used Troux (http://www.troux.com/) to do an engagement analysis mapping capabilities to technology to show gaps and overlaps. Part of strategic planning
  - UMUC uses Sparx (http://www.sparxsystems.com/) but dislike it and are stopping.
  - Archi (http://archi.cetis.ac.uk/) maps to UML and is a simple tool for working with Archimate (http://www.opengroup.org/subjectareas/enterprise/archimate)
  - Scott Fullerton at UW-Madison likes to use UML and MagicDraw UML because it enforces rigor when you need to make sure things are rigorous.