

# ITANA - WSO2 Con - Follow Up Discussion

## ITANA - WSO2 Follow Up Discussion - Nov 19, 2013

Attendees: Aws Al-Attar, Joel Banez, Glenn Donaldson, Ashish Pandit, Dave Perhne, Jon Terrones

UMICH - Dave Perhne and Aws Al-Attar

WSO2 Status:

- Went through WSO2 Implementation of API Manager/ESB/Data Services via Quick Start. Picked use case and went through implementation via Quick Start.
- UMICH had needs for custom security mediation and was able to have WSO2 assist in development, specifically mapping to their identity provider
- WSO2 was able to patch during Quick Start. 25K
- WSO2 Developers took tasks back to WSO2
- if had to do it again, would be more specific of defining use cases, too generic. Can share use case doc
- API Manager had an issue with Javascript injection
- UMICH - live last Friday

Take aways from WSO2 Con:

- Focus on Developer Studio and it's deployment practices seems to be the preferred methodology for integration work, i.e. migrate proxy services into Dev Studio

Followup question:

- Curious about who is currently working with security mediations to PeopleSoft

UCSD - Ashish Pandit

WSO2 Status:

- WSO2 implementation via Quick Start

Take Aways from WSO2 Con:

- Limitation of Development Studio:
  - No visual data mapping?
  - In testing, did not see a solid unit testing capabilities. Talked to WSO2 product manager and they are aware of that
  - No step by step debug tools. On WSO2 agenda.
- Liked AppFactory Demo. Potential to manage the app life cycle and customers via that application

Westmont - Joel Banez

WSO2 Status:

- Currently in consideration

Questions:

- Did UMICH feel that they got enough out of Quick Start. Yes.
- Dave P. mentioned that next time they would have examined more complex/detailed use cases
- Anyone using any of the Salesforce connectors? No.

OSU - Glenn Donaldson

- Interested in whether or not people have added a layer of abstraction to their Peoplesoft services using WSO2.
- No one currently using WSO2 in that manner, but we talked a bit on the fragility of not doing this layer of abstraction.
- Follow up question after Google Hangout re: what people are planning on doing for support structure.
  - Can you share your current or planned support model, staffing roles & numbers for your WSO2 deployment?
  - What unit/department is the support in? Is this part of your project request flow?
  - Do you have a business case for ESB or SOA that you can share?

UW Madison - Jon Terrones

WSO2 Status:

- Test infrastructure of ESB and Governance Registry cluster deployed. Build out of production infrastructure underway.

Take Aways from WSO2 Con:

- Interest in WSO2's new campus in Bloomington, IN for support purposes
- Liked App Factory's ability to assist in the entire software development lifecycle
  - Takes away burden of provisioning source code management, issue tracking, run time environments, etc.
  - Hit home with the amount of time it takes to provision infrastructure here at DoIT
  - Provides a store like facade for promoting apis to customers
- Deployment techniques
  - Use of Hazelcast for cluster management
  - Dropping of registry based deployment sync in favor of SVN
- Interesting partnership with cloud dev community/codeenvy.
  - Basically WSO2 specific tooled Eclipse in the cloud.
  - Minimizes time to development for developers who struggle with building out their development environment dependencies and configuration.
- Support
  - WSO2 doesn't care if you run without support
  - Maintains that serious customers will benefit
  - Immediate fixes

Future: Once a month meetings via Google Hangouts or similar.

- Discussion to continue around everyone's WSO2 implementation efforts.
- Further discussion needed on support structure to address Glenn D's questions.