## **Teleconference notes 02-14-2013**

WG-SDN teleconference

Attendees:

Bill Owens, NYSERNet

Paul

Chris Small from IU

Matt Wilson, Northwestern University

Michael Van Norman, UCLA (via IP phone over OF-enabled first hop)

(Linksys WRT54Gv4, Raspberry Pi running POX for the controller -- OF for <\$75)(wow! cool!)(stock firmware on Linksys?)(no, Pantou)

^^-this would be a really cool howto as a "get-started-with-of" kind of thing... -- that's sort of why I brought it up (agenda item #4)

Dan Schmiedt, Clemson (um, has raspberry pi waiting on a project sitting on my desk at least)

Brian Parker, Clemson

Michael Lambert, PSC/3ROX

David Crowe, Oregon GigaPOP

Eric Boyd, Internet2

Dale W. Carder, University of Wisconsin, on Centrex!

kevin mayeshiro, University of California, Davis

Joe Lappa PSC/3ROX

Ryan Flaherty, Marist College

Deniz Gurkan, University of Houston

- 1) Agenda bash
- 2) Grover graciously agreed to join and give us an update on the testing of Brocade from the perspective of AL2S deployment
- 3) I have attended the first US Ignite developer's workshop and will give an update on that.
- 4) Questions this workgroup is interested in answering:
- Quick guide to starting an OpenFlow testbed
- Vendors and updates on OpenFlow implementations
- IETF and ONF standardization status

And then, we will transfer all of this into our usual I2 wiki site: SDN Collaboration Space

## Grover:

what has been done on the testing of Brocade

OESS code: hardware and software perspective

More rigourous

Set of procedures on update of the network: automated software testing

10G and 100G ports

Juniper MX960

## **Brocade MLXe16**

And then share with the community

Also working with Cisco

Vendors are very cooperative

Stable code mostly

OpenFlow 1.3 in the future, currently OpenFlow 1.0

Core forwarding capability very stable

Most failures are future-desirable capabilities rather than current needs of AL2S

Comment on flows got stuck: not an issue for AL2S - not replicated now and layer 2 match is the only important aspect necessary at this time

Bill: actions that are optional - required vs optional in the standard

It is hard to sometimes be more exlicit on what is optional and what is required Eric: Vendor influence by the community through being educated and aware of what kinds of differences each bring to the optional/required sets

OF standard itself is not very clear

Different interpretations are possible

When experimenters run experiments on this platform - how do we make sure they understand capabilities?

Switch capabilities section would explain things (=optional/required features) supported for each vendor's implementation

Paul: Baseline test medium/expectations - is there such a config for this test?

Independent of the OF - baseline the testbed

OF support on one of L3 or L2 match not both at the same time

OF tests: any customized tests that may be available to the community as open source?

No customized - only https://github.com/InCNTRE/oftest

Many communities are involved in deployment and testing efforts:

NSF CC-NIE participants, GENI, others - not necessarily documented

Resources are constrained in these kinds of tests

12: multi-vendor in the backbone, Juniper, Cisco, Brocade - to help the community with the testing challenges. More vendors? Please contact 12 if interested in including, NEC, ?

IBM on GENI rack

Scope around testbed networks to be more effective

If there is a backbone with SDN in this community - testing help/support from Grover

GENI rack and I2 will integrate to be able to serve all application developers

Testing environment can be expanded - but, budget and software developer time constraints AL2S feature - extending testbed resources to include frequently deployed community resources vs the resources on AL2S How about community contributing to the test procedures financially?

## Deniz:

IGNITE notes https://mozillaignite.org/

discussions of ways to abstract the network for programmers' and end users' point of view

- -- programmers care about sockets and response time; not MAC addrs, bandwidth
- -- invite Eric fropm ESnet for a future wg-SDN call discussion(?)

competition for awards sponsored by us gov

apr 3rd next deadline

requirements: 1Gbps+ BW, run on GENI,

next generation applications to program the network to meet higher layer application needs

or have better visibility into the network

IGNITE web site lists applications that have been awarded to date

main takeaways from the conference?

- presentation from Eric Boyd of Internet2 on what are developers' needs
- -- question about FlowVisor design; forwarding tables can only be programmed for best effort forwarding today; Best effort service with overprovisioned bandwdith/paths; developers want repeatable results ION has up to 2G reservable BW, provides repeatable results; but some need more

bandwidth; Move ION bandwidth to AL2S even though ingress L3 port; futures: instructions for GENI researchers to request explicit paths for repeatable experiment results

Need for orchestration software with visibility of available resources to service provider; give developer control of quality of service over their own network slice (?)

Transparency in FlowVisor as a nearer term goal

Forwarding rules firewall. Only flow space is allocated. Scheduling/rate limits are not included

Will this become easier in later versions of OpenFlow that (may) address QoS? http://www.openflow.org/wk/index.php/Quality\_of\_Service

Agenda item #4:

Michael Van Norman: raspi + Linksys

(strongly recommend two things -

TP-LINK WR1043ND (30+ Mbps) instead of Linksys (8 Mbps), and a solid USB power adapter to avoid voltage sag problems with the Pi: https://www.adafruit.com/products/501)

raspberryb vannorman.com (but that should probably not go in the public notes as I don't always have the unit secured well) sure!

Cisco ONE did not run on rPi - instead POX

Floodlight runs well on rPi

An example setup for this group to experiment with the OF and controllers