

# CManage Call 11-May-2012

## Minutes: CManage-TAC call 11-May-2012

### Attending:

Heather Flanagan, Internet2 (chair)  
Ken Klingenstein, Internet2  
Scott Koranda, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee  
Keith Hazelton, University of Wisconsin, Madison  
Michael Gettes, CMU  
Benn Oshrin, Internet2  
Steve Olshansky, Internet2

## DISCUSSION

### Report out from 2012 SMM

- The CManage demo at SMM CManage WG session went well, was well received.
- University of Florida expressed possible interest in working with CManage
- Track session with SURFnet may have suffered from some issues of cohesion between the two merged presentations
- There were good informal meetings during the SMM week

### LIGO

- ScottK noted that LIGO is Interested in purchasing teleconference management services (such as SeeVough) via NET+ if possible
- This in light of the fact that LIGO did not receive grant to continue EVO work.
- However, Net+ may be working primarily with R1 Universities currently, and may not be ready to engage with LIGO
- Ken suggested that Scott look at the Internet2 H.323 video services as a possible alternative path forward

### VAMP Workshop Proposal

- KevinT has raised a few questions about the VAMP Workshop proposal
  - One question is from which area within NSF to request the funding (50K to support travel)
  - Originally, the plan was to request from SAVI [http://www.nsf.gov/news/special\\_reports/savi/program.jsp](http://www.nsf.gov/news/special_reports/savi/program.jsp)
  - However, the VAMP proposal is not a good fit for SAVI
  - Still discussing the best place to request
- Kevin has requested a list of the people planning to attend the VAMP

Ken wil chat with Dane

Heather and Ken will iterate on the VAMP proposal

The VAMP coordinators in the Netherlands are committed to moving ahead, have space

### Immediate future for CManage-TAC

- The CManage dev team is small and working against specific requirements
- The plan was that this CManage-TAC would look at the bigger picture, especially regarding a service instance
- Since the CManage service instance is not happening in the near-term, we probably don't need a CManage-TAC call every 2 weeks
- decision: hold calls once a month
- Heather will set up a Doodle poll to determine the best time for these monthly calls

The monthly TAC calls can cover:

- updates on the dev team's work
- environmental scan
- discuss things like VAMP

### Significance of Service Instance

Keith: If Internet2 is not focused on supporting a CManage service instance, might it make sense to think about a CIC-based effort?

Michael asked for more details on the significance of the hosted service.

Heather stated:

- CManage is an identity system geared towards VOs
- A service instance would help VOs ( who don't have staff to stand up their own CManage ) with their enrollment and with IdM
- if there was a service instance, we could do more in the area of domestication of apps

Q : if we were to stand up an instance, what would be sustainability model?

A: Heather: we had drafted business plans for self-sufficiency, but it's not a current management priority.

====

### Additional part time developer

Heather reported she is looking at hiring another part-time developer to work on the COnanage directory directory.

**Next COnanage-TAC call:** TBD