

# 2024-Feb-6 CTAB Public Minutes

## CTAB Call of Feb. 6, 2024

### Attending

Warren Anderson, LIGO  
Pål Axelsson, SUNET  
David Bantz, University of Alaska (chair)  
Ercan Elibol, Florida Polytechnic University  
Richard Frovarp, North Dakota State  
Mike Grady, Unicon  
Scott Green, Eastern Washington University  
Christopher Keith, Brown University  
Johnny Lasker, Internet2  
Ryan McDaniel, Virginia Tech  
Jon Miner, University of Wisc - Madison (vice chair)  
Rick Wagner, UCSD  
Kevin Morooney, Internet2  
Andrew Scott, Internet2  
Albert Wu, Internet2  
Emily Eisbruch, Independent, scribe

### Regrets

Tom Barton, Internet2, ex-officio  
Matt Eisenberg, NIAID  
Kyle Lewis, Research Data and Communication Technologies  
Ann West, Internet2

## Discussion

- Internet2 [Intellectual Property reminder](#)

### Committee / Status Updates

- InCommon Operations
- CACTI
  - Discussion about digital wallets with Dmitri Zagidulin from MIT DCC (Digital Credentials Consortium)
  - They are working on getting a CACTI representative to come to CTAB
  - Brief discussion about Futures 2 report
- InCommon TAC
- SIRTFI -
  - plans are moving along for the SIRTFI Exercise Planning Working Group 2024
- REFEDS MFA
  - Assurance MFA Subgroup closed down
  - [REFEDS MFA Version 1.2 was published in Nov. 2023](#)
  - There is a proposal for new work, phishing resistant MFA
- Proposed REFEDS working group on verifiable credentials version of eduPerson
  - Relates to e-wallets
  - Pål may report to CTAB on this work
- The proposed REFEDS Work Plan is: <https://wiki.refeds.org/display/WOR/2024+Work+Plan+Preparation>

### Candidate draft - First Half 2024 CTAB

- CTAB first half Work Plan is mostly ready

### InCommon Futures 2 discussions - continued

- The InCommon Futures report is not yet final; Kevin appreciates feedback
- The updated report has been received from [Second Muse](#)
- It changed visually, but not much in terms of content
- In January 2024 it was suggested to develop a new exec summary and a one page infographic
- Big buckets : Knowledge and Communication
- Context:

- Now that everything is digital, what is IT?
  - T: Technology, building hardware infrastructure 1990 - 2000
  - IT: Information Technology, more about software, 2000 - 2010
  - I: Information, extracting value into hands of decision makers, 2010 -
  - InCommon needs to shift in how we create knowledge and communicate it
  - Sharing expertise
  - InCommon has done the T, done the IT, we need to do the I (Information)
- There won't be one reference architecture that will serve all
  - However, we can share models of what community members should aspire to
  - The "how" may be different
  - Example FIM4R meeting, a few years back, looking at AARC blueprint, sharing how infrastructure mapped to the blueprint <https://aarc-project.eu/architecture/>
  - It was noted that there is more pressure these days to buy a product to address IAM
  - A framework for thinking about IAM is valuable
  - Warren: LIGO developed its own IAM system. Had help from people involved with InCommon software, Shib, Grouper, etc
  - Comment: A big overlap in SPs between campus commercial and R&E are cloud providers like AWS
  - There is a need to address many segments
  - InCommon has focused a lot on the needs of R1 schools
  - Solutions for scholarly enterprises
  - Many IAM commercial solutions have come and gone in recent years, InCommon is 20 years old
  - The commercial solutions don't generally fully address academic needs
  - Pal: The government dimension is extremely important to the IAM work being done in Europe
  - David: Attaching dates to the tiers in layer cake graphic would be helpful.
  - Ryan: Many institutions are stuck in an organizational design that is about 10 years old
  - IAM is no longer a function buried within IT
  - IAM is critical to getting info to the right people and to risk reduction
  - Advocacy on and off campus is crucial

#### Questions for CTAB

- How can CTAB help address particular recommendations?
- How can all of the standing committees work together differently to help address particular recommendations?
- Do you see something(s) that strike you as being primarily associated with a committee other than the one you lead?
- What recommendations strike you as the most urgent? ones that be sequenced for later action?
- As we "go on the road" with the findings, what are the 2-3 points/recommendations/findings/etc. that you believe should be front and center of messaging?

What does CTAB want to get out of **Internet2 CommEx in March?** (not discussed on this call)

- <https://internet2.edu/2024-internet2-community-exchange/>
- March 4-7, 2024 in Chicago
- (David/Jon are going and [presenting](#))

**Next CTAB Call:** Tuesday, Feb. 20, 2024