

SO 12-16-11 Agenda and Meeting Notes

OSIdM4HE-Strategy&Org. call, 5pm - 6pm (ET) Friday, 12/16/2011

Participants

| Who | With | Present |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Tom Barton | U Chicago | |
| Dedra Chamberlin | Berkeley & UCSF | |
| Jacob Farmer | Indiana U | |
| Keith Hazelton | UW-Madison / Internet2 | |
| RL "Bob" Morgan | U Dub / Internet2 | |
| Benn Oshrin | Internet2 / Various | |
| Hampton Sublett | UC Davis | |
| Bill Yock | U Dub/Rice Board | |

AGENDA

1) F2F planning:

- Figuring out how to engage consultants; what does a DIP plan look like?
- Chris Mackie, facilitation, note taking,....
- BillyY: Asked John Lewis from Unicon: still comfortable as a volunteer participant representing JASIG

] Dedra: we each write up our ideas & then work on a consensus view given a draft agenda from Bill:

- differing dev. methodologies
- decision-making, roles & responsibilities
- High-level architecture: prod suite vs. api/integration
- Refining the marketecture; Communications strategy

2) Building suites vs. building standards

- RL"Bob": Both. The only possible way. APIs sans code & functionality always fail.
- Benn: The extremes between Bill & me: I'd go the path of understanding use cases to validate the approach we're taking;
- BillT: Modular Reference Architecture and reference implementations & particular solutions; anxious for a community of practice around OSIdM4HE.
- Dedra: concerned that ongoing development & support for what we come up with. If we do our own ~~to the api~~ we're still stuck supporting our locally developed software stack
- TomB: Fill the gaps: Registries and provisioning; Interoperability of multiple sets; Wiring diagrams on solving real world problems
- BillT: We want folks to know that they have options to put on the evaluation list up against the monolithic suites.
- BillyY: we need to come to agreement on the nature of development efforts that are needed. Roughly speaking, what are the levels of resourcing required.
- BillyY: Think about a moonshot, too. Could we accomplish something more significant.
- Benn: This is why we need a clearer picture of the gaps. We need to figure out where the funding would go.
- TomB: One severe gap: Guides, Documentation and Training materials; The How-Tos; there are capabilities that are missing--person registries; there is missing knowledge about how to integrate things that are already out there
- Dedra: We also want to be able to tell decision makers that there are commercial vendors/affiliates
- Benn: Before F2F, take a stab at the more concrete gap analysis.

3) Another public statement like our Sept. 16th one.

- Just a heartbeat; updates, PSU code, other developments, UC Davis, UCB/UCSF ptiching in; "we're working on the DIP"

4) Next meeting, Friday, Dec. 30, 2 hours: 4 - 6 pm EST; OSIdM4HE "All Hands" call.; Meanwhile: Use the tools: Email & Wiki to keep up the momentum.