

8-26-11 S&O Meeting Agenda and Notes

OSIdM4HE-Strategy&Org. call, 5pm - 6pm (ET) Friday, 8/25/2011

Participants

Who	With	Present
Tom Barton	U Chicago	
Dedra Chamberlin	Berkeley & UCSF	
Jacob Farmer	Indiana U	
Keith Hazelton	UW-Madison / Internet2	x
RL "Bob" Morgan	U Dub / Internet2	
Benn Oshrin	Internet2 / Various	x
Hampton Sublett	UC Davis	x
Bill Yock	U Dub/Rice Board	x

AGENDA

1. Convene

Ideas for format of Sept. 16: Audio/Video? F2F doesn't seem likely; Conference East / Conference West?

Does this wear the hat of Jasig Comm of Practice?

5-9 committed ppl to further the conversation. Registry subcommittee.

Does this wear the hat of contributions to RICE/KIM.

Deliverables/Goals for us: Strawman proposal(s) for how this can work.

The recommendations that the three groups put forth will have to play nicely with each other. (Reg/Prov/AcMgmt)

Grouper & Rice may continue in parallel...

We don't have to upset the apple cart of work underway. OpenRegistry would fit under Jasig. Provisioning? End up needing two provisioning: federated (SAML) and enterprise. Provisioning connectors.

Agree on service interfaces. Then parties can go off & code implementations & connectors.

S&O role would be to offer guidelines to the func/dev teams along lines of ent. infr. req.

So there's a tech team and a (Organizational politics, PR and Grantsmanship)

Board acknowledges that current model needs augmentation whether new partnerships

HS works as lead in Kuali infra roadmap

HS: Ent Sys Req. team; Org Structure & Strategies team;

BO: Call them action items rather than teams for now.

HS: KH owns ESR AI; HS owns OSS AI; looking for helpers....

BO: As for September 16 logistics: If it's a big mass webconf; use WebEx's feature

KH: Proposal to RICE re vent partner could be centered on Registry & AccManagement; I2/JasigCOmanage could be centered on Provisioning.

BY: Concerned about how do dependencies play out when actual velocities of component efforts end up being quite far apart?

BY: There are some advantages to having everything under one governance & resource tent. Some improved chances of success.

HS: You might get Berkeley to contribute to one, & Davis to another, but if it's under a big umbrella then you might attract UC resources

2. Agenda Bash

3. AI review

- [Done](#): Draft Proposal on New Model of Collaborative Middleware Development

- [Done](#) Draft charge for S&O Team with thoughts on how we make progress toward our goals
- [OSIdM4HEteam:[RL-Bob](#)] Documenting the gaps that have no team (yet). AuthN is one example.

4. Review Bill Yock's revised draft on [Investment and Governance Models](#)

I made several edits to the draft investment models to incorporate some feedback I received and get it ready to present to the Rice board this week. I added some barrier comments and enhanced several participation statements. Would appreciate any comments on it. If these look good I am happy to start drafting a MOU regarding the collaboration model.

5. Review Hampton Sublett's draft [S&O Team Charge](#)

6. Do we really understand the HE & research market for (Open Source) IAM?

7. Should S&O maintain a Cross-Team Set of [Enterprise Infrastructure Requirements](#)?

8. Review New Action Items