



- Pilot Description
 - 252 K12 School Districts, 17 ESUs, 8 Community Colleges, 3 state colleges and 1 University
- Stakeholder Involvement
 - University Nebraska from the start
 - Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC)
 - Successful presentations to the Ed Council and Network Advisory Groups
 - October 2013 Shibboleth Training
 - Participation included 8 K12 NOC, 2 Community College techs, and several from University of Nebraska.
 - Funding for an FTE by ESUCC to oversee statewide identity management
 - Creation of statewide technical group for P-20W IDM





History

- 1. Disjointed effort 8 years ago for statewide directory services
 - 1. Stalled: K12 statewide directory server request from ESU administrators
 - 2. ESU 10 CAS/SSO/LifeRay portal and Lincoln Public School Shibboleth Server
 - 3. Recommendation from UN on InCommon in March 2013
- 2. ESUCC Charge
 - 1. Early 2013 administrative involvement on request for State directory/SSO
 - 2. Realization of IdM in March on national federation via Incommon
 - Joined InCommon k12 Pilot initiative.
- 3. Realizations
 - 1. Administration understanding critical. Documents similar to COSN and Jack's story really help.
 - 2. Examples of stories to be repurposed:
 - "Transforming Data to Information in Service of Learning"
 - 1. http://www.setda.org/web/guest/datatoinformation
 - COSN Document
 - 1. http://www.cosn.org/sites/default/files/pdf/Single%20Sign%20On%20Primer.pdf





History

1. Assistance:

- 1. University of Nebraska participation, consulting and contacts
- Inherent awareness of all post-secondary institutions on IDM
 - 1. Colleges and Community Colleges demonstrated and high degree of interest
 - 2. InCommon participation has broadened the scope.
 - 1. Benefits of bi-weekly calls
 - 2. Presentations from Paul Caskey
 - Presentations on COPPA and FERPA
 - 4. Grouper Presentations
 - 5. POP Presentation

3. Vote of confidence from DOE and Nebraska NITC

- 1. Presentations are key to these groups
- 2. School Districts need additional awareness training.
 - 1. Danger: techs that might not understand.





Goals

- 1. Initially: Build and Federate between Regional Consortia (NNNC and GNENC)
 - 1. Proof of concept with Shibboleth
 - 2. Federate Safari LOR
 - 3. Develop Statewide InCommon Federation IdP proxy
 - 4. Use Atomic Learning, Safari and Zimbra mail as SPs
 - 5. Federate with small number of schools already in Directory Server

2. Present Goals

- 1. Form a statewide IdM group representative of all educational institutions
- 2. Design a statewide IdM for DOE data dashboard
- 3. Use the InCommon K12 Scholarship program 5+ entities registered
- 4. Design and deploy an IdP Proxy (based on consulting at Shibboleth training)





Challenges

- 1. Building Understanding of IdM Process Knowledge
 - 1. Absolutely Critical for funding reasons
 - COSN document, cartoon videos and stories such as Jack's story need development. i.e.
 - 1. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3xMqJmMcME0
 - 2. Re-purpose for IdM K12 meaning
- True meaning and understanding of Idp/SPs.
 - 1. Fundamentally important for meetings
 - Must have communicative technical staff
 - 2. Must be aware of privacy issues (FERPA/COPPA)
- 3. Technical Talent
 - 1. Already overworked
 - 2. Lack of total understanding can be a huge problem.
 - 3. Need for scripting/programming skills
 - 1. This has driven a technical restructuring effort in Nebraska





Lessons Learned

- 1. The ship is just pulling out of the harbor. The direction is correct.
- 2. Importance of a P-20W initiative
 - Hiring of one FTE was critical. Showed solidarity and commitment.
 - 2. More than anything gave the P-20W vision (Jack's story)
 - 3. Need for more techniques for communication
 - 4. Realization that privacy issues need to be addressed now.
- 3. Active membership in national organizations
 - 1. COSN and EduCause
 - School Education Technology Directors Association (SETDA) and Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) participation