

Recent History for the “Future”

- Jan 2009: InCommon Future [Group](#) Formed, chartered by InCommon Steering, AMSAC, RAC
- March 2009: Future Group Meets in Oakland
- April 2009: Draft [Report](#) for Public Comment
- May 2009: Three Town Hall Forums for Comment
- July 2009: Board Report Issued: “InCommon Future Report and Recommendation”
- September 2009:
 - CIC and RUCC universities urge support of InCommon
 - Interim Financial Plan for Board Discussion
 - Internet2 Board: “... The Board is firmly committed to ensuring that InCommon is properly positioned to provide sustainable leadership in this field for the indefinite future...”
 - Community Response: Increase Financial Support, Increase InCommon Annual Dues
 - Internet2’s Response: Increase Financial Support: Exec Director, Interim Business Plan
- December 2009: Final Business Plan due to Internet2 Board for Approval

InCommon

Three *proposed* divisions of the identity middleware ecosystem:

1. Leadership, Advocacy, Outreach

2. Foundation: Development and Research

- Shib, Grouper, COmanage, Paccman, ISOC (DKIM), ...

3. Trust Services

- InCommon Federation (basic)
- Bronze, Silver Profiles for Levels of Assurance of Identity
- Certificate Services for U.S. Higher Education
- Shib & IdM Training and Consulting
- Outsourced Federation Services

Business Plan Goals

- Focus on developing a business plan that costs out new services.
- Provide InCommon with a solid business model that will provide the funding to implement these services and recover the costs.
- Develop a plan that leverages international work, grants, and internal funding to continue with an active R&D program for new services.

Business Initiatives

- Internet2 will provide working capital to launch new services
- Member services will increase to cover costs.
- New services are being developed that will have positive revenue potential.
- Work with international partners
- Develop strategic partnerships with agencies and commercial vendors

2010 Tiers Approved by the Steering Committee, based on new Internet2 Levels:

Carnegie Classification and Corporate Revenue

Very High Research	L1	\$3,000
High Research	L2	\$2,500
Doctoral, Large Masters, Medical Schools	L3	\$1,500
All other	L4	\$1,100
Corporate: > \$1B Revenue	L1	\$3,000
Corporate: < \$1B Revenue	L3	\$1,500
Corporate: < \$10M Revenue	L4	\$1,100
Others: Case by Case, Similar Size Basis	L1-4	\$

Additional Detail and Discussion on Webinar, 10/19/09 4:00pm ET

Interim Tiers and Fees for 2010

Tier Approximation for InCommon Participants

- L1 – 69 (42%)
- L2 – 13 (8%)
- L3 – 37 (23%)
- L4 – 45 (27%)

InCommon Classes

- HE – 117
 - 3.6 million end users
- Partners – 48
 - NIH, NSF
 - Labs, TeraGrid
 - K12 School Pilot
 - Marketplace of applications & services

Community Feedback to Ground the development of

- Tiers, and
- Fee Structure
- for 2011 and Beyond

InCommon Governance

- Continuing Internet2 commitment to the entire space
- Shared Governance Connections between Board, Councils, and Steering Committee

Internet2 *Regular (University)* Members not in InCommon

- L1 – 34
 - L2 – 67
 - L3 – 30
 - L4 – 1
- Campus Expectations