## InCommon Steering Futures 2 Planning

March 6, 2023



# What Does the Futures2 Planning Process Aim to Achieve?

- Continue to provide value to Higher Education and Research in ways pertinent to the needs of the future
- Provide a strategic direction: What, Why, Who
- Inspire the community to participate in the future work/help pay the bills to get there
- Create clarity and build new communities
- Capture the attention of key partners
- Focus on the future; not rehash what we do today
- Build on the Internet2 5-year roadmap



#### What's the Output of the Planning Process?

- Future-forward **document** targeting IT Leadership (C-Suite) from Higher Education and Research (HE&R) and its partners that answers the following questions:
  - Where will HE&R be in 5 years? What needs will it have?
  - What functions and capabilities must HE&R IT support to address those needs?
  - What provided functions and capabilities will IT need to have available to support their missions?
  - What will Internet2 T&I offer in this regard and to whom?
- Establishes **shared strategic direction** for the community and Internet2's Trust and Identity Division for the next 5-years
- Sets the stage for further **requirements gathering** and shared work.



#### Here's where we are...

- Established a Futures2 Planning Working Group:
  - Chris, Christine, Laura, Marc, Rachana, Ann (Kevin, Apryl, Steve, Jean, Elaine)
- Targeted community-shareable draft by December 1, 2023
- Agreed on Approach
  - Phase 1 Define and Scope Steering Planning Team and Facilitator
  - Phase 2 Explore and Analyze Multiple actions including community engagement and environmental scans with analysis
  - Phase 3 Strategies and Recommendations Drafting committee that will synthesize information and provide directional conclusions
- Discussed the "why now"?
  - Basic draft is underway



#### What's Next?



Planning committee meeting every two weeks (starting 3/15) for 90 mins



Identify scope of audience engagement



Contract with facilitator and project manager to drive process



Develop detailed plan and timing for kick off



Deliver Community Exchange presentation in May



Audience Organization	Priority for Feedback
Large Research Universities	High
Medium-Sized Univ/Colleges	High
Small Colleges	Medium
MS-CC	High
К-12	Low
Community Colleges	Medium
Industry/Service Providers	High
Catalyst Industry Partners	High
R&E Regional Network Providers	Medium
International Partners	Medium
Research	High
Federal Agencies	High

Audience Role	Priority for Feedback
CIO/CTO	High
IAM Practitioner	High
IAM Architect	High
CISO	High
Llbrary	Medium
Research	Medium
Business Analyst	Medium
End User	Low

DRAFT Organizations and Roles In Scope for Feedback: Use-Case Complexity

#### DRAFT Core Groups to Engage

InCommon Steering Committee

InCommon Technical Advisory Committee

Community Trust and Assurance Board

Community Architecture Committee for Trust and Identity

eduroam Advisory Committee

InCommon Catalysts (Corporate Support Partners)

Component Architects (Trusted Access Platform Developers)

Internet2 Staff, including Trust and Identity Division

InCommon Corporate Customers (Federated Service Providers)

Quilt

Federated Identity Management 4 Research (Research/International)

Federated Identity Management 4 Libraries (Library/International)

Minority Serving Cyberinfrastructure Consortium (MS-CC)

**EDUCAUSE** 

REN-ISAC (Security)

Federal Agencies (NIST, NIH, NSF, DoE, NASA)



#### How will we engage?

Phase 2 : Explore & Analyze

- 1. Conduct broad survey for Higher and Medium Priority Audiences leveraging Internet2 and Steering Representative lists
- 2. Promote scoped number of focus groups targeted at the Core Groups

After December 1 into 2024

- 1. Promote paper and get feedback. Update if necessary.
- 2. Once approved, canvas the WHO identified in the planning phase for user stories. Begin collecting requirements.





### Thoughts and Questions

