

# NET+ PAG Meeting Minutes

Dec. 15, 2020

Present: Mark Henderson, Jack Suess, Matt Riley, Kevin Morooney, Sean O'Brien, Jamie Sunderland, Elaine Alejo, Dana Voss, Matthew Buss, Absent:, Virginia Evans, Michele Norin, Loren Malm

## Agenda:

- Timely Topics (PAG members)
- Discussion on Learning Management Systems in the NET+ portfolio (Dana Voss and Matthew Buss join)
  - Good growth with Canvas
  - Discussion on if we keep blackboard and D2L in the portfolio?
    - JackS- they are in different places, important to have a competitive alternative, working with E&I?, could transition into E&I.
    - Blackboard- 7 out of 9 have been transitioned to direct contracts
      - UMBC contract through 22'
    - MattR- good to have two and likes D2L, offers a creative contract solution within NET+
    - JackS- which schools decided to go to an alternate platform? vs their own direct contract. Would like to ask them why. Curious at the motivation that caused them to move. (are they going around offering better pricing)
      - (Maryland) Towson University - ended on 6/30/2019
      - George Mason - moved direct to BB on 2/14/2020
      - (Texas) UT Arlington - moved to Canvas on 12/21/19
      - (Texas) Texas Woman's - ended on 8/31/19
      - (Maryland) Morgan State - ended on 6/30/19
      - VCU moved to Canvas
    - DanaV- they have approached some campuses to try and do true ups , which doesn't make campuses happy. Putting pressure on campuses to pay by Dec 15
    - JackS- storage was different then with the NET+ program
    - Canvas has been transparent with costs to the advisory board. They are being more creative on renewal points to bring down prices in the first couple of years. Looking for longevity with campuses
    - JackS- Panopto?
    - MattR- maybe a way to package this in a suite through NET+ , advantages of using them together?,
    - SeanO: looking at this exact thing. CSTAAC looking into this
    - JackS- CSTAAC to look into learning analytics about setting up a record store for the analytics we are getting. Explaining to schools on how you come up with analytics
    - MattB- we do have a working group for this – **Matthew to follow up with Jack**

- Review [Cloud Scorecard Working Group](#) Final Report and discuss business model
  - See pre-read: [Cloud Scorecard Final Report v0.2.docx](#)
  - 3 business models to compare
    - Internet2 funded with existing member dues
    - Scorecard part of InCommon MattR+1
    - charge the service providers a listing fee (marketing for them)
  - JackS- IMS space- they have come out with a trusted Ed product. People are paying to be certified. There is a movement that we would have to think about if we make this part of InC. Are we prepared to offer trust marks in the InC space as part of the procurement ? Discussing this in the InC space.
    - Kevin- 2019 there was a fee increase -fundamental shift not only paying for federation but also the software development so I think it might be too soon to go back to ask them to pay for scorecard. It would not be something that happens quickly
    - JackS- maybe we say -InC is prepared to offer a trust mark model where vendors can come in and get a trust mark ( could be several) could develop a business plan for vendors through the InC community. We are all paying a lot more money for institutions to go through this on their own. This would be a win for the community. Vendors would pay to have their trust mark displayed.
    - Kevin- More University IDP's then SP in InC. does not have to be connected to federated access could be other attributes
    - JackS to Matt- every 2 years we have to review our contracts- this is a significant cost to us and the vendors, some of which don't want to do this. This could be a win win for everyone. Might need a couple of vendors to take the lead. (NET+ vendors)
    - MattR-might be good having a point as to why we are using NET+ to do this (compliance)
    - It would help with baseline expectations, is InC talking about trust marks? Yes through baseline expectations
    - Sean- did start this with badging, concerned with the immediate needs
    - JackS- community doesn't seem to be there yet. Problem with metabase trust is that it is hard to see as a marketing piece. Need to think about the value proposition to suppliers
    - Going above and beyond- would love to see elevated trust marks

Next Meeting: Jan 26, 2021

Meeting adjourned